IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA SOUTHERN DIVISION

JENNIFER ETHRIDGE,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	CASE NO. 1:20-CV-189-KFP
ANDREW SAUL,)	
Commissioner of Social Security,)	
Defendant.)	

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

On November 9, 2020, the Commissioner filed an Unopposed Motion for Entry of Judgment Under Sentence Four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) with Remand to Defendant. Doc. 16. The Commissioner also filed a memorandum in support of the motion, asserting that remand is necessary so the Commissioner may give further consideration to Plaintiff's residual functional capacity, obtain supplemental vocational expert testimony, and resolve any apparent conflicts between the vocational expert's testimony and the Dictionary of Occupational Titles. In addition, the parties consented to entry of final judgment by the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 636(c). Docs. 9 and 10.

Sentence Four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) authorizes the district court to "enter, upon the pleadings and transcript of the record, a judgment affirming, modifying, or reversing the decision of the Commissioner of Social Security, with or without remanding the cause for a rehearing." 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). The district court may remand a case to the Commissioner for a rehearing if the court finds "either . . . the decision is not supported by substantial

evidence, or . . . the Commissioner or the ALJ incorrectly applied the law relevant to the

disability claim." Jackson v. Chater, 99 F.3d 1086, 1092 (11th Cir. 1996). In this case, the

Court finds reversal and remand necessary, as Defendant concedes reconsideration and

further development of the record is required. Doc. 16 at 1–2. Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the Commissioner's motion is GRANTED. The decision of the

Commissioner is REVERSED and REMANDED for further proceedings pursuant to 42

U.S.C. § 405(g). It is further

ORDERED that, in accordance with Bergen v. Comm'r of Soc. Sec., 454 F3d 1273,

1278 n.2 (11th Cir. 2006), Plaintiff has **90 days** after receipt of notice of an award of past

due benefits to seek attorney's fees under 42 U.S.C.§ 406(b). See also Blitch v. Astrue, 261

Fed. App'x 241, 241 n.1 (11th Cir. 2008).

A separate judgment will issue.

DONE this 12th day of November, 2020.

/s/ Kelly Fitzgerald Pate

KELLY FITZGERALD PATE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE